

Appendix B

Response to Statutory Consultation

The Link, Hazlemere

Do you support the proposals	Does this relate to the overall scheme or a specific location?	Comments
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I am forced to object to the proposals but only for the effect it would have on the parking on our shopping centre car park which will be greatly affected. I quite understand the residents need to have parking restrictions in front of their houses. If you could guarantee that the parking restrictions on the centre car park would be enforced at all times so that we could ensure a good facility for our customers with constant turnover of free car spaces for them. We cannot afford to lose any more footfall on our parade, which has decreased for the past few years. Unless the regulations are enforced the parking problem would only move from the streets to the centre car park leading to fewer parking spaces for customers and eventually less shops if trade gets worse. We have been trying to get the existing regulations enforced on the parade for some two years to no avail, can you help?
No	AH 55 - The Link	If you put double yellows on the Co-op side of The Link, people will park all the way down the opposite side. Putting double yellows from the entrance to the car park and the all the way up and across access to private property at Roberts Ride and all the way down the opposite side of the Co-op car park entrance would have greater effect in keeping traffic moving. The current parking situation is fine. I am occasionally blocked in with cars parking across the access pavement to the rear of the property but traffic does move well in that area. As a resident on the Link/ Roberts Ride I am surprised that we have not received any letters about this consultation.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	I park drop and collect my daughter here for the Widmer End school... it's great to be able to park here for literally 10 mins if that... Where on earth would we be able to park freely and with ease nearby??? I see no problem with people parking here during the school drop off and pick up times. How about the council save on the cost of yellow lines and repair the bloody roads!
No Feedback was left in this response	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	No Comments
No – The Link Yes – The Link with Roberts Ride & Lower Lodge Lane	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No Feedback was left in this response	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
Yes	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	It's difficult to see clearly when turning out of The Link into Roberts Ride. Just needed at the corner of Roberts Ride. Too far up would cause a knock on effect of congestion further up Roberts Ride.

No – The Link with Roberts Ride No Feedback for The Link with Lower Lodge Lane Yes – The Link	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	I agree that double yellow lines are needed at the end of the road to prevent parking and allow easier access at a tricky junction when cars are parked all over the place and on the corners, however to have the double yellow lines as far up the road as they are proposed will only push the already nightmare parking situation that residents have when the co-op is open further up. I agree all of the link should be double yellow lines.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	We believe that extending parking restrictions beyond the Link will just make people park on the opposite side of the road on Roberts Ride. This in affect will make entering our own property more dangerous.
No Feedback was left in this response	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	Will push congestion further up the road most properties have a shared drives so residents can't park their cars there so the estate does not have any off street parking
Yes	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	These would be safe junctions if it weren't for the ridiculous parking carried out by many. The cars up the link hinder the view when leaving the co-op roof car park. Pavements are for pedestrians not cars!
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	There's not a problem so it doesn't need fixing! It rarely is an issue.
Yes	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	This is a dangerous corner when trying to enter Roberts Ride from the link unsighted. I agree 100% with the proposal to restrict parking close to the junction
Yes	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	Because our drive is shared we need space to park 1 car outside our house. In addition we have a disabled son who visits and needs to park on the road. Only 1 car space directly next to our drive is required.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	1. I purchased my house a year ago and often use the space opp my house to park. 2. It will de-value my house. 3. it will cause major issues with parking all over the estate !
Yes	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	This is a dangerous junction and these proposals are long overdue.
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	There needs to be control to stop parking all around the exit from the carpark on the link. But it doesn't need to extend all the way up the hill. Beyond the coop raised carpark, parking is fine. It doesn't need to extend as far as it does. It only needs to stop parking on the actual corner and not impede parking outside the properties on Roberts Ride. This doesn't really achieve anything and will just put residents at a

		disadvantage. Although double yellows along the opposite side to the houses would be useful as this lane is only single width once cars are parked
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
Yes	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I am in total agreement with the proposed changes to restrictions.... butI sincerely hope they are policed to ensure the restrictions are obeyed, double yellow lines on The Link and in front of the Coop are abused by vehicles parked on them a lot of the time.
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	Not practical
Yes	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	There is a definite need for double yellow lines at the top of the link on both sides at the junction of Roberts Ride as visibility coming out of this junction is totally obscured by parked cars when coming up the link and turning right. I hope the designated parking bays on The Link will still be kept. Double yellow lines on the corner of Lower Lodge lane just off The Link also a good idea as people park dangerously on the corner. The parked cars on Cedar Avenue are a concern as people do park thoughtlessly although they do act to calm the flow of traffic also. Cedar Avenue is mostly a long straight road and by limiting parking it will encourage more speeding from some individuals, especially near the school. The top end of Cedar Avenue/ Upper Lodge Lane gets clogged by parked cars from parents collecting from Widmer End School and they park both sides on Cedar Avenue. By limiting the parking on Cedar Avenue you will create congestion on other parts of the estate such as Copes Shroves, Mary's Mead (which is already heavily congested) , Maurice Mount and The Warren. Not all parents are able to walk their children to and from school as they work and are then rushing to get their children there and collect on time. Waiting restrictions that are properly enforced would be a better idea. Hindsight is a wonderful thing and if the current houses had more parking spaces then that would mean less cars parked on the street. It would be a shame to lose our green spaces to create hard standings. Please also stop more houses being built on the estate as this only adds to the car congestion. I agree that people who are able to walk their children to school should do this but this is not always possible. I work and am able to do this but I'm sure in the minority.
No	AH 55 - The Link	I have run a business on the parade of shops for over XX years. Although I agree with a time limit, the suggested 2hour maximum is going to impact my business immensely in a very negative way. Many of my clients have colour processes which take longer than 2 hours. The council previously agreed with this, when they last looked at changing it to 2 hours waiting. Trading is very tough for all of us, but please reconsider the waiting time to be kept at 3 hours.
Yes	AH 55 – The Link with Lower	we need to park outside our own house

	Lodge Lane	
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	I feel that it would be better to have a time restriction on this so not to penalise local residents. Maybe 8-10am and 2-5pm.
No Feedback was left in this response	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	No Comments
Yes	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	It seems that some parking spaces are being lost outside the Coop on Park Parade. This doesn't seem necessary.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	As a resident at a property on Lower Lodge Lane this is going to cause an issue as I park outside my house. Can you please advise if any permit will be issues to me as a resident or will you consider providing a double dropped curb which we have in the past been refused. This will in turn stop people from parking as well.
Y	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	In relation to the proposed Waiting Restrictions along The Link and Lower Lodge Lane in Hazlemere I wish to express my support for the proposal in part. I agree that the zone shown in red will assist in minimising congestion along that stretch of road however I do feel that issues will be created further long Lower Lodge Lane and Roberts Ride with displaced parking. I feel that the zone shown in Blue should be replaced by a residents parking only restriction that should also extend further down Lower Lodge Lane. I am a resident of Lower Lodge and frequently cannot park outside my home due to shoppers from the Hazlemere Parade parking along the road rather than use the existing parking facilities.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	With regards to the proposed yellow lines to be introduced in Roberts Ride Hazlemere - presumably you realize that there is a Doctors Surgery in this road which will make it impossible for patients especially the elderly and hopefully you will take this into consideration. Also it should be noted that a local Doctors Surgery has recently closed in the area which has resulted in an increase of patients to the Roberts Ride Surgery. The Drs. surgery has a very small car park for about 6/8 spaces many of which are taken up by the Doctors and staff vehicles. In which case I would like to lodge my objection to this proposition.
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	I would like to log the following objections, 1, when we purchased the house, the fact that we could occasionally use the parking space on the road opposite the house was a factor in purchasing the property 2, It will de-value our house and make it less appealing to potential buyers when we come to sell if there are double yellow lines on Roberts Ride & Link Road! 3, It will severely impact parking in general on the estate. 4, Maybe if you put single yellows permit parking

		instead of double yellows!!!
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I have no concerns regarding these proposals, they are necessary to control displacement parking from the nearby shopping area which inhibits resident's access. There is however concerns regarding proposed restrictions in Cedar Avenue, caused by the school. I agree that this is a very significant and growing problem for residents, however there are substantial resident concerns regarding displacement parking and damage to verges etc.</p> <p>Can you please assure me that appropriate measures will be in place to prevent any undesirable consequences.</p>
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>We live at a property on Roberts Ride and can understand your concerns about the parking. However, we need to have at least 1 parking space outside our house as we have a son who visits and our daughter visits with our grandchildren, both require easy access in and out of their cars.</p> <p>In addition to put yellow lines outside would have a negative effect on the value of my house and would not give my house a good look.</p> <p>We have lived here for over XX years and have never had a problem regarding parking, this consultation is causing me stress and we have had lots of sleepless nights worrying.</p> <p>Hoping you will consider our concerns.</p>
Yes	AH 55 – The Link with Lower Lodge Lane	<p>I have no objection to the proposed measures but I think that if you stop parking on the co-op side of The Link, then cars will be parked on the other side instead, which may cause me a problem.</p> <p>I have built a drive at the side of the house so that I can move the car closer. This means that I have double side gates that I don't want blocked, to stop this happening; I would like to see the double yellow lines extended past my side gates as shown on the plan below.</p>
No	AH 55 – The Link with Roberts Ride	<p>I live almost opposite the link; I understand parking is becoming an issue on Roberts Ride. The Doctors Surgery is very busy and people park on the road and visit the Park Parade shops. However, I am objecting to a no waiting at any time in Roberts Ride.</p> <p>Firstly I share my driveway with my neighbour. We only have 1 space for a car. I would then have to have my front garden dug up and made into a car park. I don't feel I should have to do this, I can't afford to. If went ahead, where would the rain water drain off to the road? which can get flooded in the summer months.</p> <p>Also where do my family and my visitor's park when they come to see me? Nobody double parks at our end of Roberts Ride, I understand they do further up. Also where are all the patients going to park when visiting the doctors. Some of these are elderly and cannot walk far.</p> <p>I hope that this doesn't go ahead. If it does I would like permits for family that are visiting me.</p>

<p>No</p>	<p>AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)</p>	<p>Whilst I support the need to review and put into place guidelines to improve safety on our roads, I believe that these guidelines also need to take into consideration the needs of the existing residents and visitors to the residential properties. In the current form, these restrictions appear to be penalizing residents in restricting parking due to non-residents attending shops, school and doctors.</p> <p>Current Parking</p> <p>The houses in Robert Ride & Cedar Avenue were predominantly built in the 1960s. Many of the cars manufactured today do not fit in the garages that come with the properties. Also, there is no room to increase the size of the garages.</p> <p>Additionally, some of the houses have shared drives that are narrow and can only accommodate one car width.</p> <p>Therefore, these factors limit the number of cars that can be parked in the drive. Because of this, some residents have turned their front garden into a parking area, but in many instances, there are still more cars than parking places on the properties. Environmentally it is not a good option for all properties to remove trees etc.... in order to park cars.</p> <p>It would mean that neighbours would have to park in the drive and block in each other's cars. Cars would need to be moved to let other cars out. This in turn creates other driving hazards, both on the road and on the pavements when moving cars in and out of drives, sometimes where visibility is not so good – i.e. on a bend or near a junction.</p> <p>Often during the day, there is a large turnover of non-residents parking in Roberts Ride, Cedar Avenue, the Link and Lower Lodge who are going to the shops, school and doctors. It is some of these cars that block drives and park inconsiderately. Therefore I would suggest limiting non-resident parking, which would make the roads a lot less hazardous on the estate.</p> <p>Personal Situation</p> <p>I live at a property on Roberts Ride. From what I can see in the plans the double yellow lines impact my neighbour who also lives on Roberts Ride and end at our shared drive. This would cause an issue for us for the reasons detailed above. Additionally my neighbour's son has mobility issues, which I am sure they have/will detail to you. Therefore, I request that the double yellow lines stop a little shorter than your current plans to enable one car parking space outside both properties on Roberts Ride.</p> <p>General Situation</p> <p>Double yellow lines / no waiting at any time restrictions may not impact on house prices. However, it is very likely that it will negatively impact on the saleability of properties where parking is an issue. How does the council intend to assist current residents in selling properties?</p>
-----------	--	--

		<p>Instead of the proposed parking restrictions, I am aware that other counties issue residents with parking permits for them and a visitor (tradesman etc.). It should also be recognised that some visitors may well have mobility issues which necessitate parking close to the property they are visiting. There would be a need to monitor parking permits, the same as double yellow lines, but at least it will not impact residents. I have witnessed this arrangement working in the area around Rayners Lane in Pinner, Middx with great effect.</p>
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>While I understand the possible need for some form of parking restrictions in the proposed areas, I feel that the proposals are excessive and do not reflect the general problem in the area.</p> <p>I have lived in Cedar Avenue since XXXX and there are two schools near to my house, Widmer End primary and The Cedars primary schools. In the past when pupils were assigned to their local school via their catchment area, most parents walked their children to school but when deregulation of catchment areas was allowed and parents had the choice of which school to send their young children to, some parents travel up to 5 miles to bring their offspring to one of the two schools listed previously. This has led to a great increase of cars in the area which can cause parking problems, but it is limited to between 8.30 – 9.30 each morning and 2.30 – 4.00 each afternoon. At all other times of the day, traffic flows normally along Cedar Avenue. Similar parking on Brimmers Hill at these times does also cause minor obstructions but none any worse than in many other areas of Buckinghamshire where schools are situated.</p> <p>Also recently, it would appear, that Cedar Avenue has been designated a bus route for some reason, although no consultation on this service was entered into and I do not know when or why the service was introduced. The bus appears twice a day at 7.00 and 8.00 Monday to Friday during school times but on every occasion I have seen the bus, there are no passengers on it. Also there are no assigned bus stops on Cedar Avenue should anyone wish to use it, so it would seem that this service is totally unnecessary.</p> <p>The provision of permanent double yellow lines along the lengths of road as indicated on maps reference Area Code AG 54 and 55 will seriously affect the residents of Cedar Avenue who generally adhere to parking regulations and do not stop the free flow of traffic.</p> <p>In other areas of the County where similar parking problems have occurred, time related restrictions on single yellow lines have been introduced, for example around Amersham and Little Chalfont railway stations. A similar system would be a far better solution with lines in the same areas as being proposed for double yellow lines with time restrictions of 8.30 – 9.30am and 2.30 – 4.00pm.</p> <p>I totally agree with the introduction of double yellow</p>

		<p>lines at the junctions of Cedar Ave./Upper Lodge Lane, Cedar Ave./ Roberts Ride, Cedar Ave./Shepherds Lane, and Cedar Ave./Fox Field as this coincides with Part 3 of your reasons, i.e. Enforcing the Highway Code with regard to parking on or near a junction.</p> <p>Another alternative which may be considered, if general parking by residents is thought to be causing the problem, would be to remove the grass verge between road and pavement and replace with a hardstanding strip which would possibly wide enough to park a whole car off the main carriageway or allow half on/ half off parking as seen along the Amersham Road at Totteridge. Originally, these grass verges were considered a nicety within a rural environment but with the increase of cars now owned by families, it could be removed to improve parking. Many areas have already been destroyed by cars using the area to park on and is now an eyesore when walking around the estate with the grass replaced with deep rutted mud. Also, the expense of having these areas cut by an outside contractor must be a burden on the Parish Council especially as the timings of the cutting is inconsistent and the results much below the standards expected. I understand that this proposal of replacing the grass with hardstanding would initially have a cost, but over a fairly short period there would be an overall saving to the Parish Council and this would be a permanent solution to any parking problems.</p> <p>I also refer to the proposals relating to The Link, running between Cedar Avenue and Western Dene as indicated on your plans reference Area Code AH55. The side of the road designated for the double yellow lines is actually the side of the road on which all parking takes place at the moment by visitors to the shops when the shop forecourt and the Co-Op parking areas are full. Access to the Co-Op car park is quite a tight turn and allowance is needed to swing into the up ramp. By causing cars to park on the side opposite the car park entrance, access difficulties to the Co-Op car park will be introduced and I feel more aggressive motoring will occur. Free flow of traffic along this short stretch of road is usually very good but if parking restrictions are to be considered, then these should be on the side of the road opposite to the Co-Op car park entrance. The junction restrictions as proposed are acceptable as the junctions are often blocked by inappropriate parking.</p> <p>One item which seems to have been overlooked is, if double yellow lines are introduced, who will enforce them? A far better affect and a more community spirited approach would be for a regular appearance by the local police area officer or a PCSO to educate those who regularly abuse good driving and parking standards. I can only feel that by introducing double yellow lines everywhere, it will appear as a money generating exercise, an intrusion into a peaceful existence of those who live here and forcing residents</p>
--	--	--

		to convert their front gardens into parking areas which would then bring them into conflict with the local Planning Authority and not as a safety exercise as stated in Parts 1 and 2 of the reasons for the introduction as I am not aware of any accidents having occurred generally due to parking problems within the areas stated that would require the introduction of a double yellow line system.
Yes	AH 55 - The Link	<p>I have consulted the proposals for "no waiting" on one side of The Link, along with other adjacent roads. I suggest that the no waiting restrictions need to be applied to both sides of that road. The present extreme weather conditions, with snowy and icy roads, provide one good example whereby had there been total no waiting, it would have been much safer to negotiate that road and hill. As it is, one or two cars / vans that parked there made it extremely difficult to pass and get a good run up / down the hill. (I accept that the amount of snow that fell could well have covered any yellow lines! - and - does not allow for broken down or abandoned vehicles !) Furthermore, in normal conditions, it is difficult for cars who wish to enter / exit the Coop's roof car park with cars badly parked up / down The Link.</p> <p>I doubt whether this opportunity to cast my opinion allows for another road safety suggestion along another section of Roberts Ride. But, as you seem to be taking parking nuisance in the surrounding area into account (e.g. top of Cedar Avenue, near the Park School) I venture to also suggest that something urgent needs to be done at the lower junction of Roberts Ride and Cedar Avenue to cater for the car parking for the doctors at Hazlemere Surgery, Roberts Ride. There is also obvious 'non patient' parking immediately opposite the Surgery, whereby regular car users illegally use the pavement to park right up to the junction. Hence parking happens both sides of that part of the road too.</p>
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All roads Affected)	<p>I was waiting until I had attended the latest LAF meeting last night as I as not sure where the consultation requests had come from. We consulted on these areas in the LAF several years ago when we did the original Rose Avenue consultation and the results were inconclusive with as many against as for so at that time they were dropped from taking them any further. I understand the Parish Council asked for these as some people had been asking for them. I have had several emails against the proposals and I haven't had any for. This includes comments from the District Councillors who in turn have had representations against.</p> <p>In view of the current comments and previous ones the last time this was consulted upon, I therefore cannot support these proposals.</p>
No	AH 55 - Overall Scheme (All	It will lead to increases in traffic speeds.

	roads Affected)	<p>Far better would be to mix the restrictions on both sides, so as to allow passing places and keep vehicle speeds down.</p> <p>I know this area well, as I live round the corner. The opportunity could also be taken to introduce some Highway Code type measures at junctions in the area.</p>
--	-----------------	--